

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/773,050	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Theresa T. Snider.

(2) Robert Siminski.

Date of Interview: 19 April 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Siminski of allowabilty of claims 1-16; Mr. Siminiski and Examiner discussed prior art cited on PTO-1449 and PTO-892, therefore confirming allowability of claims 1-16. Mr. Siminski agreed to amendment to claim 1, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to provide for proper antecedence.